# Expectations of Steering Recommendations

This table to articulate the expectations of what will be included along with level of detail in the recommendations from the PLSR Steering Committee to DPI is meant as a starting point that likely will evolve through further conversations and clarifications between the Steering Committee and DPI.

## Overall Structure and Administration

**Inputs to Steering Committee**
- Workgroup models, including information about centralized and regional services.
- Administration recommendations from each workgroup.
- Chapter 43 review, including information about how much of the workgroup models could be implemented at different levels of change in Chapter 43.
- Information on structure from other states (via Chapter 43 workgroup)
- Structure principles
- Information gathered about system director and administration duties and activities.
- Information about system relationships with counties.
- Focus group input

**Output from Steering Committee**
- Reconciling workgroup recommendations related to structure.
  - Achievable without change
  - Most likely achievable without change
  - Most likely only achievable by changing structure
- Recommendations of legislative considerations and/or potential changes for administration.
- General recommendations of what types of entities, qualifications, etc. are needed for those who are providing the service and the administrative entities for the structure.
- Recommendations of process of how those are selected to provide service and be the administrative entities for the structure.

## Governance

**Inputs to Steering Committee**
- Workgroup recommendations regarding governance and/or oversight of service models.
- Information on governance from other states (via Chapter 43 workgroup)
- Focus group input

**Output from Steering Committee**
- Unified model of governance
- Recommendations of legislative considerations and/or potential changes for administration.
- General recommendations of what types of entities, qualifications, etc. are needed for those who are involved in governance.
- Recommendations of process of how those are selected to participate in governance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Implementation</strong></th>
<th><strong>Funding</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inputs to Steering Committee</strong></td>
<td><strong>Outputs from Steering Committee</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Implementation recommendations from the workgroups. The workgroups will supply information on order of implementation and challenges, but will not have detailed timelines.</td>
<td>- Prioritized implementation plan from workgroups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Recommendations on how to implement new structure and governance visions, including recommendations on who should oversee the implementation process and how it would be executed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding</strong></td>
<td><strong>Outputs from Steering Committee</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inputs to Steering Committee</strong></td>
<td><strong>Final recommendations of ballpark service model budgets based any adoption or adjustments Steering makes of workgroup recommendations.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ballpark budgets for the new service area model.</td>
<td>- Ballpark budget recommendations for overall administration and governance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Recommendations of administrative-level budgetary needs.</td>
<td>- Recommendations of funding allocations to support redesigned service models and overall administration and governance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- As much as possible, income and expense information from systems.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Focus group input</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>