PLSR final recommendation development ideas

Development of the process the Steering Committee
This topic and process development will be on the November and December agendas. The project managers encouraged the committee to build in time for community feedback on the process plan after the 1st of the year to solidify the process framework by the start of Phase III.

What is the timeline?
DPI will need some solid information related to budget by June, 2018.

Results of committee brainstorming at the meeting at WLA

- **Take draft recommendations report from workgroups and present to community regionally**
  Share recommendation report on a regional basis with a team consistent across each region so they can provide feedback to that report in order to have a sense of what the community thinks of the report before it begins building on it. The steering committee wants to make sure the library community is aware of what is in the report. Regions would not be based on systems so we are looking at this as statewide regional sessions. And, while it will take time and money, it would be good to have a core group of the Steering Committee presenting at all of these so there is consistency and it would give clear communication that Steering is taking over.
  *Before March 2018: create schedule*

- **Review panel of out-of-state experts to review at different points**
  It might be valuable to recruit objective people to look at the final recommendations. It could be earlier in the process, but gravitating toward doing it when there are draft recommendations, in order to look at feasibility, things that we haven’t thought of, etc. This could also be used to validate the overall structure. If we do it sooner, they could follow along, too.
  *Before March 2018: find people, organize these people and prep them,

- **Are there ALA Chicago Opportunities?**
  - How to find networks to get input
  - Bring together those we’ve talked to
  - Connect with ASCLA: Association of Specialized and Cooperative Agencies

- **Obtain more In-State Input**
  - Academic friends at SLIS and SOIS could be tied into the process

- **Steering Committee reacts to the final report**
  - This will need to be an in-person meeting
  - Will need to make sure questions are answered and talking points are created

- **Preview and get feedback at WLA**
  - Provide plan for post-report, give the community highlights, and try to arrange a track for each workgroup to present

- **Continue to use the online town hall model (Q&A)**
• New round of toolkit as we get toward WLA 2017

• What additional information do you need as a Steering Committee?
  o Demographics, trends for longevity and flexibility of structure (basing decisions on data)

• How does the work get accomplished?
  o Working days: who should be included needs to be discussed
  o Facilitators from outside of the library community
  o Talking more about administrative group tasks now during Steering Meetings

Chapter 43 workgroup work plan related to this process

1. Review of Chapter 43 to identify specific sections that address library systems and those that address public libraries that would be impacted by a change in system structure.
2. Gather information from other states for topics covered in Chapter 43 and governance and funding models for statewide and regional services.
3. Monitor model development by workgroups and potential legislative needs for new service models.
4. Connect with past library law leaders as appropriate, like Peter Hamon, Al Zimmerman, Charles Bunge, etc., to gain insight and understanding of system development and lessons learned for their histories with the evolution of systems and Chapter 43 and report information to Steering Committee.
5. Review past process and reports as appropriate and report information to Steering Committee.

Previous ideas shared with the committee

1. Use of out of state consultant/peer assistance to develop potential administrative/governance/funding model ideas as a starting point for the committee.
2. Include project managers and service workgroup leaders as resource about process and recommendations from the service workgroups.
3. Develop administration, funding, governance and other structural recommendations need to support services.
4. Develop implementation/transition recommendations.
5. Determine process for how final recommendations will be vetted by community and revised as a result.