Public Library System Revision Steering Committee Meeting

The committee chair and project managers brainstormed the following framework of potential ideas for the process to develop final recommendations by this committee. This was developed to provide the committee with ideas and a starting point to develop this Steering Committee led process.

Now to the end of Phase 3
1. Research and information gathering
   a. Chapter 43 Subcommittee review of Chapter 43 to identify specific sections that address library systems and those that address public libraries that would be impacted by a change in system structure.
   b. Subcommittee gathers information from other states for topics covered in Chapter 43 and governance models for statewide and regional services.
   c. Subcommittee and Steering Committee monitor model development by workgroups and potential legislative needs for new service models.
   d. Subcommittee connects with past library law leaders as appropriate, like Peter Hamon, Al Zimmerman, Charles Bunge, etc., to gain insight and understanding of system development and lessons learned for their histories with the evolution of systems and Chapter 43 and report information to Steering Committee.
   e. Subcommittee reviews past process and reports as appropriate and report information to Steering Committee.
2. Steering Committee determines process for community input and vetting of final redesigned model recommendation.

After the end Phase 3
3. Project managers present final combined recommendation report of the workgroups to the Steering Committee.
4. Steering accepts services recommendation report as framework to start development of administrative/governance/funding model.
5. Steering Committee is charged with developing final redesigned model and recommendation report to submit to the State Superintendent of Public Instruction.
   a. Include project managers and service workgroup leaders as resource about process and recommendations from the service workgroups.
   b. Use of out of state consultant/peer assistance to develop potential administrative/governance/funding model ideas as a starting point for the committee.
   c. Final recommendations would address implementation/transition.
   d. Determine process for how information provided will be distilled into a final model and how this model will be vetted by community and revised as a result. Get input from and vet report with library community.
   e. Create final draft of the report and send out for endorsement by the community: LD&L, SRLAAW, WISL....
6. Approve final report and send to State Superintendent of Public Instruction.